

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/479,997	06/07/1995	DEAN ENGELHARDT	ENZ-5(D6)(C2	8799
28171	7590 09/02/2005		EXAMINER	
	CHEM, INC.	BRUSCA, JOHN S		
527 MADISC NEW YORK	ON AVENUE (9TH FLC , NY 10022	OR)	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1631	

DATE MAILED: 09/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
08/479,997	ENGELHARDT ET AL.	1	
Examiner	Art Unit		
John S. Brusca	1631		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief								
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		John S. Brusca	1631					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE RE	EPLY FILED <u>30 March 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.					
th pl a <u>tir</u>	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) 🗀	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
b) [_	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
have beounder 37 set forth may red	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 ins of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office laterace any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) E OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>03 September 2004</u> . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS								
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered b	ecause >				
) They raise new issues that would require further co							
	0) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below							
) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	•		the issues for				
(0	They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
, m -	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
no	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a con-allowable claim(s).		•	-				
ho Ti	or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) by the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ will vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
	laim(s) allowed: laim(s) objected to:							
	aim(s) rejected to laim(s) rejected: <u>all pending claims</u> .							
С	aim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
	VIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
be	ne affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, buecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an as not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	It before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and				
9. 🔲 Ti ei	ne affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ntered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to do nowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.				
11. 🔯 🛚	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.			nce because:				
12. 🔲 1	2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
13. 🔲 (Other:	Jus	John S. Brusca Primary Examiner	ugud 2005				
		•	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1631					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

5.00

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): All rejections in the Office action mailed 14 July 2004 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph and second paragraphs..

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the amendments require reinstatement of rejections under 35 USC 102 previously of record in the Office action mailed 26 November 2003.